

Appl. No. 09/904,019  
Atty Docket No. 8354M  
Response dated October 7, 2004  
Reply to Office Action dated July 7, 2004

### REMARKS

Claims 1-49 are in the case.

The specification has been amended to reflect the current status of the parent applications.

### Response to the Office Action

#### The Double Patenting Rejection

Claims 1-49 have been rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-69 of U.S. Patent 6,581,915 B2. In response, Applicants submit herewith a terminal disclaimer over U.S. Patent 6,581,915 B2. Applicants submit that this obviates the rejection and contend that it should be withdrawn.


#### Conclusion

It is submitted that Claims 1-49 are in condition for allowance. Early and favorable action on all claims is therefore requested.

If the next action is other than to allow the claims, the favor of a telephonic interview is requested with the undersigned representative.

Respectfully submitted,  
Christophe Laudamiel-Pellet et al.

By

  
Brent M. Peebles  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 38,576  
(513) 627-6773

October 6, 2004  
Customer No. 27752